

Application No. 08/716,223
Status Request Dated January 9, 2004
Confirmation No. 5553
Attorney Docket No. 702-961170



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No. : 08/716,223
Applicant : Gerrit A. Van Schouwenburg
Filed : November 22, 1996
Title : **METHOD FOR PREPARING A
COHERENT PIECE OF MEAT FROM
SMALLER PIECES OF MEAT, AND THE
COHERENT PIECE OF MEAT OBTAINED**
Group Art Unit : 1761
Examiner : Curtis Edward Sherrer

FACSIMILE 703-872-9306
Attention: Milton Cano
Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RECEIVED
MAR 29 2004
TC 1700

STATUS REQUEST

Dear Sir:

Applicant requests that the United States Patent and Trademark Office provide a status report on the above-identified application. A summary of recent activity in the case follows:

October 30, 2001	Application forwarded to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences (BPAI) for decision on appeal.
February 12, 2003	Decision on Appeal with new grounds of rejection.
April 14, 2003	Amendment in response to new grounds of rejection.
April 22, 2003	Notice of Abandonment.
April 30, 2003	Facsimile copy of April 14, 2003 Amendment sent to Examiner.

Application No. 08/716,223
Status Request Dated January 9, 2004
Confirmation No. 5553
Attorney Docket No. 702-961170

May 15, 2003

Communication refusing entry of
April 14, 2003 Amendment.

May 23 – June 17, 2003

Multiple telephone conversations
with Examiner and BPAI
personnel (Eleanor Cook and
Dale Shaw) by which the
undersigned received verbal
assurance that BPAI was acting
on the case with no risk of
abandonment. Copies of
telephone notes evidencing such
activity are attached.

December 12, 2003

Notice of Abandonment.

Applicant's representative have diligently prosecuted this application and
request an explanation of its status as well as withdrawal of the December 12, 2003 Notice of
Abandonment.

Respectfully submitted,

WEBB ZIESENHEIM LOGSDON
ORKIN & HANSON, P.C.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being faxed
to facsimile number 703-872-9306, Attention: Milton
Cano at the Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450,
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on January 9, 2004.

Theresa Ulinski

(Name of Person Mailing Paper)

Theresa Ulinski 01/09/2004
Signature Date

By

Julie W. Meder
Julie W. Meder

Registration No. 36,216

Attorney for Applicant

436 Seventh Avenue

700 Koppers Building

Pittsburgh, PA 15219-1818

Telephone: (412) 471-8815

Facsimile: (412) 471-4094

E-mail: webblaw@webblaw.com



RECEIVED

MAR 2 2 2004

Notice of Abandonment OF PETITION IS

Application No.

08/716,223

Applicant(s)

VAN SCHOUWENBURG,
GERRIT A.

Examiner

Curtis E. Sherrer, Esq.

Art Unit

1761

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

This application is abandoned in view of:

1. ☒ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 15 May 2003.
 - (a) ☐ A reply was received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of _____ month(s)) which expired on _____.
 - (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on _____, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).
 - (c) ☐ A reply was received on _____ but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).
 - (d) ☒ No reply has been received.
2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).
 - (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).
 - (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$_____ is insufficient. A balance of \$_____ is due.
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$_____. The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$_____.
 - (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.
3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).
 - (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.
 - (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.
4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.
5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.
6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on _____ and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.
7. ☐ The reason(s) below:

RECEIVED
MAR 2 9 2004

Curtis E. Sherrer, Esq.
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 1761

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.